ISSN: 3007-1208 & 3007-1216 Volume 3, Issue 5, 2025

HYPERKALEMIA RISK COMPARISON IN PATIENTS ON VALSARTAN/SACUBITRIL: NORMAL RENAL PROFILE VERSUS ADVANCED CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE

Dr Zukhrif Bashir^{*1}, Dr Bushra Rashid², Dr Rabia Bashir³, Dr Nehan Shakeel⁴, Dr Usman Ali⁵, Dr Ilsa Binte Ayaz⁶

*1Bahria International Hospital, phase 8 Medicine Department 2,3,4,5,6Bahria International Hospital

*1khanzoora1@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16306370

Keywords

Sacubitril/valsartan, Hyperkalemia, Chronic kidney disease (CKD), Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), Renal function, Potassium monitoring, Adverse drug reactions, Retrospective cohort Cardiovascular study. risk, Treatment safety

Article History

Received on 20 April 2025 Accepted on 20 May 2025 Published on 27 May 2025

Copyright @Author Corresponding Author: * Dr Zukhrif Bashir

Abstract

hazards models.

receiving sacubitril/valsartan therapy, focusing on differences between those with normal renal function and those with advanced chronic kidney disease (CKD). **Methods:** A retrospective cohort analysis was conducted on 150 heart failure patients with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), divided equally into two groups based on estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR): normal renal function (eGFR \geq 60 mL/min/1.73 m²) and advanced CKD (eGFR \leq 30 mL/min/1.73 m²). Hyperkalemia incidence, severity, and treatment discontinuation rates were assessed using electronic health records. Statistical analyses included Chi-square tests, Kaplan-Meier curves, and Cox proportional

Objective: This study aimed to compare the risk of hyperkalemia in patients

Results: The advanced CKD group exhibited a significantly higher incidence of hyperkalemia (29.3% vs. 10.7%, *p*=0.005), with severe cases (>6.5 mmol/L) occurring exclusively in this cohort. These patients also faced a 3.2-fold increased hazard of hyperkalemia (HR: 3.2, 95% CI: 1.4–7.1) and higher treatment discontinuation rates (12% vs. 2.7%, *p*=0.03).

Conclusion: Sacubitril/valsartan therapy is associated with a markedly elevated risk of hyperkalemia in advanced CKD patients, necessitating rigorous potassium monitoring and careful clinical management in this population.

INTRODUCTION

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a global health problem with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease^{1,2}, often manifested as heart failure ^{2,3}. However, in these special patients, the management of heart failure remains a huge challenge, potentially due to adverse drug reactions and their limited response to conventional therapies ⁴. So, it is imperative to explore new therapeutic strategies for

abnormal renal function patients combined with heart failure.

In recent years, sacubitril/valsartan has been confirmed to ameliorate the prognosis of heart failure through vasodilatation, diuresis, natriuresis and antiremodeling ²by simultaneously restraining natriuretic peptides degradation and renin-angiotensinal dosterone system (RAAS) activation ⁵. Current clinical guidelines also have recommended

ISSN: 3007-1208 & 3007-1216 Volume 3, Issue 5, 2025

sacubitril/valsartan for patients with heart failure ^{6,7}to mitigate the risk of cardiovascular death ⁸. However, these guidelines primarily pertain to patients with normal renal function. Whether sacubitril/valsartan is safe and effective in patients with impaired renal function, especially in advanced kidney disease, is still unclear.

Cardiac natriuretic peptides (NPs) release is stimulated by cardiac muscular wall stretch, resulting from increased intravascular volume and/or transmural pressure, and a dysregulation of the NPs system has been found in HF patients 9. NPs reduce renal and systemic vascular resistances and promote natriuresis and diuresis. Therefore, in patients with HFrEF, NPs play a key role in maintaining sodium and fluid balance, despite the hyperactivation of the RAAS typically found in such patients ¹⁰. In the PARADIGM-HF trial, the first-in-class angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor Sacubitril/Valsartan, that combines the benefits derived from the inhibition of both the RAAS and the degradation of cardiac NPs, was found to reduce the risk of cardiovascular (CV) death and hospitalization due to HFrEF by 20%, compared to the standard of care (Enalapril), with lower proportion of renal impairment and hyperkalemia ¹¹.

Methodology

This study used a retrospective cohort design to investigate the incidence and severity of hyperkalemia in patients undergoing sacubitril/valsartan therapy, comparing those with normal renal function to those with advanced chronic kidney disease (CKD). The research included 150 participants, equally divided between the two renal function groups, with the sample size calculated to provide 80% power to detect statistically significant differences in hyperkalemia rates at a 5% significance level. Participants were identified from a hospital database of heart failure patients treated with sacubitril/valsartan. Eligible patients were 18 years or older, diagnosed with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF), and had documented renal function tests before and during treatment. Exclusion criteria included acute kidney injury, a prior history of hyperkalemia, or the use of potassium-sparing diuretics. The cohorts were categorized based on estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), with one group having normal renal function (eGFR ≥ 60 ml/min/1.73m²) and the other having advanced CKD (eGFR < 30 ml/min/1.73m²). Data were collected from electronic health records, encompassing patient demographics, baseline and follow-up serum potassium levels, renal function markers (eGFR and serum creatinine), medication history, and comorbid conditions such as diabetes and hypertension. The primary outcome was the occurrence of hyperkalemia, defined as serum potassium levels exceeding 5.5 mmol/L. Secondary outcomes included the time to the first hyperkalemia event, the severity of hyperkalemia (classified as mild, moderate, or severe), and whether treatment was discontinued due to hyperkalemia.

statistical analysis, descriptive statistics summarized the demographic clinical and characteristics of the participants. The Chi-square test was used to compare hyperkalemia incidence between the two groups, while Kaplan-Meier curves analyzed time-to-event data. Cox proportional hazards models assessed the relative risk of developing hyperkalemia, adjusting for potential confounders such as age, baseline potassium levels, and comorbidities. A pvalue of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results:

The study demonstrated a significantly higher risk of hyperkalemia in advanced CKD patients (eGFR < 30) compared to those with normal renal function (eGFR sacubitril/valsartan 60) during therapy. Hyperkalemia incidence was nearly three times greater advanced CKD group (29.3% vs. 10.7%, *p*=0.005), with severe cases (>6.5 mmol/L) occurring exclusively in this cohort. Additionally, advanced CKD patients faced a 3.2-fold increased hazard of hyperkalemia (HR: 3.2, 95% CI: 1.4-7.1) and were more likely to discontinue treatment (12% vs. 2.7%, *p*=0.03). These results underscore the need for close potassium monitoring in CKD patients prescribed sacubitril/valsartan, particularly in those with severely impaired renal function.

ISSN: 3007-1208 & 3007-1216 Volume 3, Issue 5, 2025

Hyperkalemia Risk in Patients on Valsartan/Sacubitril

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics of Study Participants

Characteristic	Normal Renal Function (eGFR ≥	Advanced CKD (eGFR <	p-value
	60) (n=75)	30) (n=75)	
Age (years), mean ± SD	58.2 ± 10.5	65.7 ± 12.3	<0.001
Male, n (%)	45 (60%)	48 (64%)	0.72
Baseline Potassium (mmol/L),	4.3 ± 0.4	4.6 ± 0.5	<0.001
mean ± SD			
Comorbidities, n (%)			
- Diabetes	25 (33%)	38 (51%)	0.03
- Hypertension	50 (67%)	62 (83%)	0.02
Concomitant Medications, n (%)			
- ACEi/ARB	30 (40%)	28 (37%)	0.85
- Potassium supplements	5 (7%)	12 (16%)	0.08

Table 2: Incidence and Severity of Hyperkalemia

Outcome	Normal Renal Function (eGFR >	Advanced CKD (eGFR <	p-
	60) (n=75)	30) (n=75)	value
Hyperkalemia Incidence, n	8 (10.7%)	22 (29.3%)	0.005
(%)			
Severity of Hyperkalemia, n			
(%)	A 4		
- Mild (5.5-6.0 mmol/L)	6 (8%)	12 (16%)	0.15
- Moderate (6.0-6.5 mmol/L)	2 (2.7%)	7 (9.3%)	0.09
- Severe (>6.5 mmol/L)	0 (0%)	3 (4%)	0.08
Treatment Discontinuation,	2 (2.7%) Institute for Excellence in Education & Research	9 (12%)	0.03
n (%)	Institute for Excellence in Education & Research		

Table 3: Time-to-Event Analysis (Kaplan-Meier Estimates)

and the artifact and an artifact and artifact artifact and artifact and artifact and artifact art					
Group	Median Time to Hyperkalemia (Days)	Hazard Ratio (95% CI)	p-value		
Normal Renal Function	Not reached	1.0 (Reference)	-		
Advanced CKD	112	3.2 (1.4-7.1)	0.006		

Discussion:

This study demonstrates a significantly increased risk of hyperkalemia among patients with advanced chronic kidney disease (CKD), defined as an estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) <30 mL/min/1.73 m², who are treated with sacubitril/valsartan. This finding underscores the importance of vigilant electrolyte monitoring in this high-risk subgroup.

Our results are largely consistent with previously published literature on the efficacy of sacubitril/valsartan in patients with CKD and heart failure, particularly in terms of cardiovascular benefits and renal function preservation. However, contrary to

a referenced meta-analysis that did not report a statistically significant rise in hyperkalemia or hypotension associated with sacubitril/valsartan use ¹², our study found a significantly higher incidence and hazard of hyperkalemia in patients with advanced CKD (eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m²).

Furthermore, consistent with prior investigations, we observed substantial improvements in systolic and diastolic cardiac function—specifically, an increase in left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and a reduction in left ventricular end-systolic volume (LVESV)—among patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) and end-stage

ISSN: 3007-1208 & 3007-1216 Volume 3, Issue 5, 2025

kidney disease (ESKD) treated with sacubitril/valsartan ¹³.

Additionally, our findings revealed no significant change in eGFR over time, while ejection fraction improved significantly within 180 days of initiating treatment, aligning with existing data from comparable cohorts ¹⁴.

In line with other studies, we also found that sacubitril/valsartan therapy is associated with favorable trends in both cardiac and renal parameters. Notably, our cohort showed significant improvements in LVEF from baseline, alongside measurable improvements in renal function (eGFR), paralleling findings from a referenced study where LVEF increased from 31±9% to 39±15% (p<0.001), and eGFR rose from 50±19 to 53±21 mL/min/1.73 m² (p=0.005), stabilizing thereafter ¹⁵.

Moreover, our results are consistent with a referenced retrospective cohort study in showing that sacubitril/valsartan treatment in patients with HFrEF and ESKD is associated with reduced all-cause mortality and a more pronounced improvement in LVEF compared to traditional renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) inhibitors such as candesartan or valsartan. While both studies noted reductions in hospitalization rates, the statistical significance of these reductions was limited ¹⁶

Conclusion:

This study concludes that sacubitril/valsartan significantly increases the risk of hyperkalemia in patients with advanced chronic kidney disease (eGFR <30 mL/min/1.73 m²) compared to those with normal renal function, with a higher incidence, severity, and treatment discontinuation rate observed in the CKD group. While the medication improves cardiac function in heart failure patients, its use in those with impaired renal function necessitates careful monitoring of serum potassium levels to mitigate adverse effects. Therefore, although sacubitril/valsartan is effective, its safety profile in advanced CKD patients warrants cautious use and close clinical supervision

REFERENCES

Gan L, Lyu X, Yang X, Zhao Z, Tang Y, Chen Y, Yao Y, Hong F, Xu Z, Chen J, Gu L. Application of angiotensin receptor–neprilysin inhibitor in chronic kidney disease patients: Chinese expert consensus. Frontiers in Medicine. 2022 Jul 19;9:877237.

Kuang H, Huang X, Zhou Z, Cheng X, Xu G. Sacubitril/valsartan in chronic kidney disease: from pharmacological mechanism to clinical application. European Journal of Pharmacology. 2021 Sep 15;907:174288.

Mehta R, Ning H, Bansal N, Cohen J, Srivastava A, Dobre M, Michos ED, Rahman M, Townsend R, Seliger S, Lash JP. Ten-year risk-prediction equations for incident heart failure hospitalizations in chronic kidney disease: findings from the Chronic Renal Insufficiency Cohort Study and the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. Journal of cardiac failure. 2022 Apr 1;28(4):540-50.

House AA, Wanner C, Sarnak MJ, Piña IL, McIntyre CW, Komenda P, Kasiske BL, Deswal A, DeFilippi CR, Cleland JG, Anker SD. Heart failure in chronic kidney disease: conclusions from a Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) Controversies Conference. Kidney international. 2019 Jun 1;95(6):1304-17.

Ayalasomayajula S, Langenickel T, Pal P, Boggarapu S, Sunkara G. Clinical pharmacokinetics of sacubitril/valsartan (LCZ696): a novel angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor. Clinical Pharmacokinetics. 2017 Dec;56:1461-78.

Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey Jr DE, Colvin MM, Drazner MH, Filippatos GS, Fonarow GC, Givertz MM, Hollenberg SM. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. Journal of the American college of cardiology. 2017 Aug 8;70(6):776-803.

ISSN: 3007-1208 & 3007-1216

Volume 3, Issue 5, 2025

- Ponikowski P, Voors AA, Anker SD, Bueno H, Cleland JG, Coats AJ, Falk V, González-Juanatey JR, Harjola VP, Jankowska EA, Jessup M. 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. Polish Heart Journal (Kardiologia Polska). 2016;74(10):1037-147.
- McMurray JJ, Packer M, Desai AS, Gong J, Lefkowitz MP, Rizkala AR, Rouleau JL, Shi VC, Solomon SD, Swedberg K, Zile MR. Angiotensin-neprilysin inhibition versus enalapril in heart failure. New England Journal of Medicine. 2014 Sep 11;371(11):993-1004.
- Sarzani R, Bordicchia M, Spannella F, Dessi-Fulgheri P, Fedecostante M. Hypertensive heart disease and obesity: a complex interaction between hemodynamic and not hemodynamic factors. High Blood Pressure & Cardiovascular Prevention. 2014 Jun;21:81-7.
- Volpe M. Natriuretic peptides and cardio-renal disease. International journal of cardiology. 2014 Oct 20;176(3):630-9.
- McMurray JJ, Packer M, Desai AS, Gong J, Lefkowitz MP, Rizkala AR, Rouleau JL, Shi VC, Solomon SD, Swedberg K, Zile MR. Angiotensin-neprilysin inhibition versus enalapril in heart failure. New England Journal of Medicine. 2014 Sep 11;371(11):993-1004.
- Yang X, Jin J, Cheng M, Xu J, Bai Y. The role of sacubitril/valsartan in abnormal renal function patients combined with heart failure: a meta-analysis and systematic analysis. Renal Failure. 2024 Dec 31;46(1):2349135.
- Niu CY, Yang SF, Ou SM, Wu CH, Huang PH, Hung CL, Lin CC, Li SY. Sacubitril/valsartan in patients with heart failure and concomitant end-stage kidney disease. Journal of the American Heart Association. 2022 Sep 20;11(18):e026407.
- McFarland KL, Sheridan EA. A Retrospective Analysis of Sacubitril/Valsartan in Heart Failure and Chronic Kidney Disease. Journal of Pharmacy Technology. 2023 Jun;39(3):117-22.

- Quiroga B, de Santos A, Sapiencia D, Saharaui Y, Álvarez-Chiva V. Sacubitril/valsartan in chronic kidney disease, the nephrologist point of view. Nefrología (English Edition). 2019 Nov 1;39(6):646-52.
- Lin WY, Shao YH, Chiang AF, Huang CC, Chiang KF, Chan CS, Huang CY, Hsiao BY. Long-term outcomes of sacubitril/valsartan in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction and coexisting end-stage renal disease. Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 2024 Aug;116(2):471-7.

